Glenna Toomey

University of Puget Sound

May 2014

**Glenna** Toomey

Rational Canonical Form

University of Puget Sound

## Table of Contents

Introduction

k[x]-modules

Matrix Representation of Cyclic Submodules

The Decomposition Theorem

## Why do we need Rational Canonical Form?

Consider the matrix over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 & 3 & 4 \\ -1 & 9 & 2 & 7 \\ 4 & -2 & -8 & 10 \\ 21 & -14 & 6 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix has characteristic polynomial x<sup>4</sup> + 9x<sup>3</sup> - 97x<sup>2</sup> + 567x - 9226

Glenna Toomey

## Why do we need Rational Canonical Form?

Consider the matrix over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 & 3 & 4 \\ -1 & 9 & 2 & 7 \\ 4 & -2 & -8 & 10 \\ 21 & -14 & 6 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

- This matrix has characteristic polynomial  $x^4 + 9x^3 97x^2 + 567x 9226$
- Can not find Jordan Canonical Form for this matrix.

## What is Rational Canonical Form?

Recall that a companion matrix for a polynomial  $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$  is the matrix of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_3 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Glenna Toomey

### A matrix in Rational Canonical Form is a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}
C[f_n] & & \\
& C[f_{n-1}] & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & C[f_1]
\end{pmatrix}$$

Where  $C[f_i]$  is a companion matrix for the polynomial  $f_i$ . Furthermore,  $f_n|f_{n-1}|...|f_1$ .

Glenna Toomey

# k[x]-modules

#### Definition

Recall that a k[x]-module is a module with scalars from the ring k[x] and scalar multiplication defined as follows:

Given 
$$f(x) \in k[x]$$
,  $f(x)v = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i v = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i T^i(v) = f(T)(v)$ .

# k[x]-modules

#### Definition

Recall that a k[x]-module is a module with scalars from the ring k[x] and scalar multiplication defined as follows:

Given 
$$f(x) \in k[x]$$
,  $f(x)v = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i v = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i T^i(v) = f(T)(v)$ .

► We can think of this as the module associated with the linear transformation T

Given an *R*-module, *M*, and  $m \in M$ , the **annihilator** of  $m \in M$  is:

$$\operatorname{ann}(m) = \{r \in R : rm = 0\}.$$

#### Theorem

Given a vector space V over a field F and a linear transformation  $T: V \rightarrow V$ , the F[x]-module,  $V^T$ , is a torsion module.

Glenna Toomey

Given an *R*-module, *M*, and  $m \in M$ , the **annihilator** of  $m \in M$  is:

$$\operatorname{ann}(m) = \{r \in R : rm = 0\}.$$

#### Theorem

Given a vector space V over a field F and a linear transformation  $T: V \rightarrow V$ , the F[x]-module,  $V^T$ , is a torsion module.

#### Proof.

the set  $\{v, T(v), T^2(v), ..., T^n(v)\}$  is linearly dependent since it contains n + 1 vectors.

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in \operatorname{ann}(v)$$

If *M* is an *R*-module, then a **submodule** *N* of *M*, denoted  $N \subseteq M$  is an additive subgroup *N* of *M* closed under scalar multiplication. That is,  $rn \in N$  for  $n \in N$  and  $r \in R$ .

If *M* is an *R*-module, then a **submodule** *N* of *M*, denoted  $N \subseteq M$  is an additive subgroup *N* of *M* closed under scalar multiplication. That is,  $rn \in N$  for  $n \in N$  and  $r \in R$ .

#### Theorem

Given a vector space V over a field F and a linear transformation, T: V  $\rightarrow$  V, a submodule W of the F[x]-module V<sup>T</sup> is a T-invariant subspace. More specifically, T(W)  $\subseteq$  W.

## The Minimal Polynomial and k[x]-modules

### Definition

The **annihilator** of a module, M, is:

$$\operatorname{ann}(M) = \{r \in R : rm = 0 \text{ for all } m \in M\}$$

### Definition

The **Minimal Polynomial** of a matrix A, denoted  $m_A(x)$ , is the unique monic polynomial of least degree such that  $m_A(A) = 0$ .

## The Minimal Polynomial and k[x]-modules

These two terms are related for k[x]-modules

Glenna Toomey

Rational Canonical Form

University of Puget Sound

## The Minimal Polynomial and k[x]-modules

These two terms are related for k[x]-modules

$$ann(V^{T}) = \{f(x) \in F[x] | f(x)v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\} \\ = \{f(x) \in F[x] | f(T)v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\} \\ = \{f(x) \in F[x] | f(T) = 0\}$$

We can use these terms synonymously

Glenna Toomey

## Matrix Representation of Cyclic Submodules

### Definition

Given an *R*-module, *M*, and an element  $m \in M$ , the **cyclic submodule** generated by *m* is

$$\langle m \rangle = \{ rm : r \in R \}$$

## Matrix Representation of Cyclic Submodules

### Definition

Given an *R*-module, *M*, and an element  $m \in M$ , the **cyclic submodule** generated by *m* is

$$\langle m \rangle = \{ rm : r \in R \}$$

► Since a submodule, W, of a k[x]-module is T-invariant, we can examine the matrix representation T|<sub>W</sub>

## Matrix Representation of Cyclic Submodules

### Definition

Given an *R*-module, *M*, and an element  $m \in M$ , the **cyclic submodule** generated by *m* is

$$\langle m \rangle = \{ rm : r \in R \}$$

- ► Since a submodule, W, of a k[x]-module is T-invariant, we can examine the matrix representation T|<sub>W</sub>
- Let us look at T restricted to cyclic submodules of k[x]-modules

#### Theorem

Let  $W = \langle w \rangle$  be a cyclic submodule of the F[x]-module  $V^T$  and  $deg(m_T|W(x)) = n$ . Then the set  $\{T^{n-1}(w), T^{n-2}(w), ..., T(w), w\}$  is a basis for W.

Proof.

By the division algorithm, we can write any polynomial f(x) = m(x)q(x) + r(x) where m(x) is the minimal polynomial of T|<sub>W</sub> with deg=n and deg(r(x)) < n</p>

▶ so, for any 
$$w_1 \in W$$
,

$$w_1 = r(x)w$$
  
=  $r(T)w$   
=  $a_{n-1}T^{n-1}(w) + a_{n-2}T^{n-2}(w) + ... + a_0(w).$ 

### Proof cont.

 Consider the relation of linear dependence: a<sub>n-1</sub>T<sup>n-1</sup>(w) + a<sub>n-2</sub>T<sup>n-2</sup>(w) + ... + a<sub>0</sub>(w) = 0

 a<sub>n-1</sub>T<sup>n-1</sup>(w) + a<sub>n-2</sub>T<sup>n-2</sup>(w) + ... + a<sub>0</sub>(w) = p(x)w, deg(p(x)) < deg(m(x))
 </li>

Now consider the matrix representation of *T*|<sub>W</sub> relative to the basis {w, *T*(w), ..., *T*<sup>n−1</sup>(w)}

Now consider the matrix representation of *T*|<sub>W</sub> relative to the basis {w, *T*(w), ..., *T<sup>n−1</sup>*(w)}

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_3 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

## Primary Decomposition

#### Theorem

Let *M* be a finitely generated torsion module over a principal ideal domain, *D*, and let  $ann(M) = \langle u \rangle$ ,  $u = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_n^{e_n}$  where each  $p_i$  is prime in *D*. Then

$$M = M_{p_1} \oplus M_{p_2} \oplus \ldots \oplus M_{p_n}$$

where  $M_{p_i} = \{ v \in V : p_i^e v = 0 \}.$ 

## Cyclic Decomposition

#### Theorem

Let M be a primary, finitely generated torsion module over a principle ideal domain, R with  $ann(M) = \langle p^e \rangle$ , then M is the direct sum,

$$M = \langle v_1 \rangle \oplus \langle v_2 \rangle \oplus ... \oplus \langle v_n \rangle$$

where  $ann(\langle v_i \rangle) = p^{e_i}$  and the terms in each cyclic decomposition can be arranged such that

$$ann(v_1) \supseteq ann(v_2) \supseteq ... \supseteq ann(v_n).$$

Therefore, we can write:  $V^T = M_{p_1} \oplus M_{p_2} \oplus \dots M_{p_n} =$  $(\langle v_{1,1} \rangle \oplus \langle v_{1,2} \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle v_{1,k_1} \rangle) \oplus \dots \oplus (\langle v_{n,1} \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle v_{n,k_n} \rangle)$ 

Glenna Toomey

Rational Canonical Form

University of Puget Sound

Therefore, we can write:  $V^{T} = M_{p_{1}} \oplus M_{p_{2}} \oplus \dots M_{p_{n}} = (\langle v_{1,1} \rangle \oplus \langle v_{1,2} \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle v_{1,k_{1}} \rangle) \oplus \dots \oplus (\langle v_{n,1} \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle v_{n,k_{n}} \rangle)$   $\bullet \operatorname{ann}(\langle v_{i,j} \rangle) = p_{i}^{e_{i,j}}$ 

Glenna Toomey

Therefore, we can write:  

$$V^{T} = M_{p_{1}} \oplus M_{p_{2}} \oplus \dots M_{p_{n}} = (\langle v_{1,1} \rangle \oplus \langle v_{1,2} \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle v_{1,k_{1}} \rangle) \oplus \dots \oplus (\langle v_{n,1} \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle v_{n,k_{n}} \rangle)$$

$$\bullet \operatorname{ann}(\langle v_{i,j} \rangle) = p_{i}^{e_{i,j}}$$

$$\bullet p_{i}^{e_{i}} = p_{i}^{e_{i,1}} \ge p_{i}^{e_{i,2}} \ge \dots \ge p_{i}^{e_{i,k_{i}}}$$

Glenna Toomey

## The Invariant Factor Decomposition

 We can rearange these cyclic subspaces into the following groups

$$W_{1} = \langle v_{1,1} \rangle \oplus \langle v_{2,1} \rangle \oplus ... \oplus \langle v_{n,1} \rangle$$
$$W_{2} = \langle v_{1,2} \rangle \oplus \langle v_{2,2} \rangle \oplus ... \oplus \langle v_{n,2} \rangle$$

- each  $W_i$  is cyclic with order  $p^{e_{1,i}}p^{e_{2,i}}...p^{e_{j,i}} = d_i$
- Each d<sub>i</sub> is called an invariant factor of V<sup>T</sup>
- ► Notice that since  $d_1 = p_1^{e_{1,1}} p_2^{e_{2,1}} ... p_n^{e_{n,1}}, d_2 = p_1^{e_{1,2}} p_2^{e_{2,2}} ... p_n^{e_{n,2}}, ...$ We can conclude that  $d_n |d_{n-1}| ... |d_1$

Suppose that W is a torsion module with order  $p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} p_3^{e_3}$ 

$$\blacktriangleright W = M_{p_1} \oplus M_{p_2} \oplus M_{p_3}$$

- ► Suppose that  $M_{p_1} \oplus M_{p_2} \oplus M_{p_3} = (\langle v_{1,1} \rangle \oplus \langle v_{1,2} \rangle \oplus \langle v_{1,3} \rangle) \oplus (\langle v_{2,1} \rangle \oplus \langle v_{2,2} \rangle) \oplus (\langle v_{3,1} \rangle)$
- ► Then the  $\langle p_1^{e_1} \rangle = ann(v_{1,1}) \supseteq ann(v_{1,2}) \supseteq ann(v_{1,3}), \langle p_2^{e_2} \rangle = ann(v_{2,1}) \supseteq ann(v_{2,2}), p_3^{e_3} = ann(v_{3,1}).$
- $\blacktriangleright W = (\langle v_{1,1} \rangle \oplus \langle v_{2,1} \rangle \oplus \langle v_{3,1} \rangle) \oplus (\langle v_{1,2} \rangle \oplus \langle v_{2,2} \rangle) \oplus (\langle v_{1,3} \rangle)$
- $d_1 = p_1^{e_{1,1}} p_2^{e_{2,1}} p_3^{e_{3,1}} = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} p_3^{e_3}$ ,  $d_2 = p_1^{e_{1,2}} p_2^{e_{2,2}}$ , and  $d_3 = p_1^{e_{1,3}}$

 Given any matrix, we can realize this matrix as the linear transformation, T, associated with the k[x] – module, V<sup>T</sup>

- ► Given any matrix, we can realize this matrix as the linear transformation, *T*, associated with the k[x] module, V<sup>T</sup>
- The first invariant factor will be the minimum polynomial

- ► Given any matrix, we can realize this matrix as the linear transformation, *T*, associated with the k[x] module, V<sup>T</sup>
- > The first invariant factor will be the minimum polynomial
- Each invariant factor will be a factor of the minimum polynomial

### Consider the matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -4 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider the matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -4 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• characteristic polynomial is  $x^3 + 6x^2 + 12x + 8 = (x + 2)^3$ 

Glenna Toomey

Consider the matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -4 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- characteristic polynomial is  $x^3 + 6x^2 + 12x + 8 = (x + 2)^3$
- minimal polynomial is  $(x + 2)^2$  since  $(A + 2I)^2 = 0$
- invariant factors are  $(x+2)^2$  and x+2

### Example cont.

Therefore, the rational canonical form of this matrix is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Glenna** Toomey