# Partial, Total, and Lattice Orders in Group Theory

Hayden Harper

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science University of Puget Sound

May 3, 2016

# Orders

- A relation on a set X is a subset of  $X \times X$
- A partial order is reflexive, transitive, and antisymmetric
- A *total order* is dichotomous (either  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq$  for all  $x, y \in X$ )
- In a *lattice-order*, every pair or elements has a least upper bound and greatest lower bound

# Orders and Groups

#### Definition

Let G be a group that is also a poset with partial order  $\leq$ . Then G is a *partially ordered group* if whenever  $g \leq h$  and  $x, y \in G$ , then  $xgy \leq xhy$ . This property is called *translation-invariant*. We call G a *po-group*.

# Orders and Groups

#### Definition

Let G be a group that is also a poset with partial order  $\leq$ . Then G is a *partially ordered group* if whenever  $g \leq h$  and  $x, y \in G$ , then  $xgy \leq xhy$ . This property is called *translation-invariant*. We call G a *po-group*.

- Similarly, a po-group whose partial order is a lattice-order is an  $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\textsc{group}$ 

# Orders and Groups

#### Definition

Let G be a group that is also a poset with partial order  $\leq$ . Then G is a *partially ordered group* if whenever  $g \leq h$  and  $x, y \in G$ , then  $xgy \leq xhy$ . This property is called *translation-invariant*. We call G a *po-group*.

- Similarly, a po-group whose partial order is a lattice-order is an  $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\textsc{group}$
- If the order is total then G is an ordered group

#### Example

The additive groups of  $\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R},$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  are all ordered groups under the usual ordering of less than or equal to.

#### Example

The additive groups of  $\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R},$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  are all ordered groups under the usual ordering of less than or equal to.

#### Example

Let **V** be a vector space over the rationals, with basis  $\{\mathbf{b}_i : i \in I\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}$ , with  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i \in I} p_i \mathbf{b}_i$  and  $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i \in I} q_i \mathbf{b}_i$ . Define  $\mathbf{v} \leq \mathbf{w}$  if and only if  $q_i \leq r_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then **V** is a  $\mathcal{L}$ -group.

#### Example

Let G be any group. Then G is *trivially ordered* if we define the order  $\leq$  by  $g \leq h$  if and only if g = h. With this order, then G is a partially ordered group.

### Example

Let G be any group. Then G is *trivially ordered* if we define the order  $\leq$  by  $g \leq h$  if and only if g = h. With this order, then G is a partially ordered group.

#### Example

Every subgroup H of a partially ordered group G is a partially ordered group itself, where H inherits the partial order from G. The same is true for subgroups of ordered groups. Note that a subgroup of a  $\mathcal{L}$ -group is not necessarily a  $\mathcal{L}$ -group.

#### Proposition

Let G be a po-group. Then  $g \leq h$  if and only if  $h^{-1} \leq g^{-1}$ 

Proof.

If  $g \leq h$ , then  $h^{-1}gg^{-1} \leq h^{-1}hg^{-1}$ , since G is a po-group.

#### Proposition

Let G be a po-group. Then  $g \leq h$  if and only if  $h^{-1} \leq g^{-1}$ 

Proof.

If  $g \leq h$ , then  $h^{-1}gg^{-1} \leq h^{-1}hg^{-1}$ , since G is a po-group.

#### Proposition

Let G be a po-group and  $g, h \in G$ . If  $g \vee h$  exists, then so does  $g^{-1} \wedge h^{-1}$ . Furthermore,  $g^{-1} \wedge h^{-1} = (g \vee h)^{-1}$ 

#### Proof.

Since 
$$g \leq g \lor h$$
, it follows that  $(g \lor h)^{-1} \leq g^{-1}$ . Similarly,  
 $(g \lor h)^{-1} \leq h^{-1}$ . If  $f \leq g^{-1}, h^{-1}$ , then  $g, h \leq f^{-1}$ . Then  $g, h \leq f^{-1}$ , and  
so  $g \lor h \leq f^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $f \leq (g \lor h)^{-1}$ . Then by definition,  
 $g^{-1} \land h^{-1} = (g \lor h)^{-1}$ .

#### Proposition

Let G be a po-group. Then  $g \preceq h$  if and only if  $h^{-1} \preceq g^{-1}$ 

Proof.

If  $g \leq h$ , then  $h^{-1}gg^{-1} \leq h^{-1}hg^{-1}$ , since G is a po-group.

#### Proposition

Let G be a po-group and  $g, h \in G$ . If  $g \vee h$  exists, then so does  $g^{-1} \wedge h^{-1}$ . Furthermore,  $g^{-1} \wedge h^{-1} = (g \vee h)^{-1}$ 

#### Proof.

Since 
$$g \leq g \lor h$$
, it follows that  $(g \lor h)^{-1} \leq g^{-1}$ . Similarly,  
 $(g \lor h)^{-1} \leq h^{-1}$ . If  $f \leq g^{-1}, h^{-1}$ , then  $g, h \leq f^{-1}$ . Then  $g, h \leq f^{-1}$ , and  
so  $g \lor h \leq f^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $f \leq (g \lor h)^{-1}$ . Then by definition,  
 $g^{-1} \land h^{-1} = (g \lor h)^{-1}$ .

• Using duality, we could state and prove a similar result by interchanging  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$ 

H. Harper (UPS)

- In a po-group G, the set P = {g ∈ G : e ≤ g} = G<sup>+</sup> is called the positive cone of G
- The elements of P are the positive elements of G

- In a po-group G, the set P = {g ∈ G : e ≤ g} = G<sup>+</sup> is called the positive cone of G
- The elements of P are the *positive elements* of G
- The set  $P^{-1} = G^{-}$  is called the *negative cone* of G
- Positive cones determine everything about the order properties of a po-group

# Po-Groups

 In any group G, the existence of a positive cone determines an order on G (g ≤ h if hg<sup>-1</sup> ∈ P)

#### Proposition

A group G can be partially ordered if and only if there is a subset P of G such that:

1.  $PP \subseteq P$ 2.  $P \cap P^{-1} = e$ 3. If  $p \in P$ , then  $gpg^{-1} \in P$  for all  $g \in G$ .

# Po-Groups

 In any group G, the existence of a positive cone determines an order on G (g ≤ h if hg<sup>-1</sup> ∈ P)

### Proposition

A group G can be partially ordered if and only if there is a subset P of G such that:

- 1.  $PP \subseteq P$
- 2.  $P \cap P^{-1} = e$
- 3. If  $p \in P$ , then  $gpg^{-1} \in P$  for all  $g \in G$ .
  - If, additionally,  $P \cup P^{-1}$ , then G can be totally ordered

# $\mathcal{L}$ -groups

• The lattice is always distributive in an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group

#### Theorem

If G is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group, then the lattice of G is distributive. In other words,  $a \lor (b \land c) = (a \lor b) \land (a \lor c)$  and  $a \land (b \lor c) = (a \land b) \lor (a \land c)$ , for all  $a, b, c, \in G$ .

# $\mathcal{L}$ -groups

• The lattice is always distributive in an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group

#### Theorem

If G is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group, then the lattice of G is distributive. In other words,  $a \lor (b \land c) = (a \lor b) \land (a \lor c)$  and  $a \land (b \lor c) = (a \land b) \lor (a \land c)$ , for all  $a, b, c, \in G$ .

• Note that any lattice that satisfies the implication

If 
$$a \wedge b = a \wedge c$$
 and  $a \vee b = a \vee c$  imply  $b = c$ 

is distributive

#### Definition

For an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group G, and for  $g \in G$ :

- 1. The *positive part* of g,  $g_+$ , is  $g \vee e$ .
- 2. The *negative part* of g,  $g_-$ , is  $g^{-1} \vee e$ .
- 3. The absolute value of g, |g|, is  $g_+g_-$ .

#### Definition

For an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group G, and for  $g \in G$ :

- 1. The *positive part* of g,  $g_+$ , is  $g \vee e$ .
- 2. The *negative part* of g,  $g_-$ , is  $g^{-1} \vee e$ .
- 3. The absolute value of g, |g|, is  $g_+g_-$ .

#### Proposition

Let G be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group and let  $g \in G$ . Then  $g = g_+(g_-)^{-1}$ 

#### Definition

For an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group G, and for  $g \in G$ :

- 1. The *positive part* of g,  $g_+$ , is  $g \vee e$ .
- 2. The negative part of g,  $g_-$ , is  $g^{-1} \lor e$ .
- 3. The absolute value of g, |g|, is  $g_+g_-$ .

#### Proposition

Let G be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group and let  $g \in G$ . Then  $g = g_+(g_-)^{-1}$ 

#### Proof.

$$gg_-=g(g^{-1}ee e)=eee g=g_+.$$
 So,  $g=g_+(g_-)^{-1}$  ,

#### • We have the Triangle Inequality with $\mathcal{L}$ -groups

Theorem (The Triangle Inequality) Let G be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group. Then for all  $g, h \in G$ ,  $|gh| \leq |g||h||g|$ .

- $\bullet$  We have the Triangle Inequality with  $\mathcal L\text{-}\mathsf{groups}$
- Theorem (The Triangle Inequality) Let G be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group. Then for all  $g, h \in G$ ,  $|gh| \prec |g||h||g|$ .
  - If we require that the elements of *G* commute, then we recover the more traditional Triangle Inequality with two terms

• We can characterize abelian *L*-groups using a modified Triangle Inequality

#### Theorem

Let G be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group. Then G is abelian if and only if for all pairs of elements  $g, h \in G$ ,  $|gh| \leq |g||h|$ .

• We can characterize abelian *L*-groups using a modified Triangle Inequality

#### Theorem

Let G be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group. Then G is abelian if and only if for all pairs of elements  $g, h \in G$ ,  $|gh| \leq |g||h|$ .

• This result comes from showing the the positive cone,  $G^+$ , is abelian

- If G and H are po-sets and  $f : G \to H$  is a function then if whenever  $g_1 \leq g_2$  for  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , then  $f(g_1) \leq f(g_2)$  in H, then f is order preserving
- f is called an ordermorphism.

- If G and H are po-sets and  $f : G \to H$  is a function then if whenever  $g_1 \leq g_2$  for  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , then  $f(g_1) \leq f(g_2)$  in H, then f is order preserving
- f is called an ordermorphism.
- If G and H are lattices then f is a *lattice homomorphism* if for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,  $f(g_1 \vee g_2) = f(g_1) \vee f(g_2)$ , and  $f(g_1 \wedge g_2) = f(g_1) \wedge (g_2)$
- If f is additionally bijective then f is a *lattice isomorphism*

- If G and H are po-sets and  $f : G \to H$  is a function then if whenever  $g_1 \leq g_2$  for  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , then  $f(g_1) \leq f(g_2)$  in H, then f is order preserving
- f is called an ordermorphism.
- If G and H are lattices then f is a *lattice homomorphism* if for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,  $f(g_1 \vee g_2) = f(g_1) \vee f(g_2)$ , and  $f(g_1 \wedge g_2) = f(g_1) \wedge (g_2)$
- If f is additionally bijective then f is a *lattice isomorphism*
- If f is a lattice homomorphism then it is also an ordermorphism
- If f is a lattice isomorphism then  $f^{-1}$  is a also a lattice isomorphism
- The set of all lattice automorphisms of a lattice G forms a group under composition of functions

- If G and H are  $\mathcal{L}$ -groups and  $\sigma$  is both a lattice homomorphism and a group homomorphism, then  $\sigma$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism
- $\bullet\,$  The three Isomorphism Theorems translate nicely for  $\mathcal L\text{-homomorphisms}$



- A *sublattice* of a lattice *L* is a subset *S* such that *S* is also a lattice with the ordering inherited from *L*
- A subgroup of S of an L-group G is an L-subgroup if S is also a sublattice of G

- A *sublattice* of a lattice *L* is a subset *S* such that *S* is also a lattice with the ordering inherited from *L*
- A subgroup of S of an L-group G is an L-subgroup if S is also a sublattice of G
- If A is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -subgroup of B which is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -subgroup of G which is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -group, then A is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -subgroup of G
- The intersection of  $\mathcal{L}$ -subgroups is again an  $\mathcal{L}$ -subgroup
- The kernel of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -subgroup

• With orders on a group, we can describe different subgroups

#### Definition

A subset S of a po-group G is *convex* if whenever  $s, t \in S$  and  $s \leq g \leq t$  in G, then  $g \in S$ .

• With orders on a group, we can describe different subgroups

### Definition

A subset S of a po-group G is *convex* if whenever  $s, t \in S$  and  $s \leq g \leq t$  in G, then  $g \in S$ .

• This gives rise to *convex subgroups* of po-groups and *convex*  $\mathcal{L}$ -subgroups of  $\mathcal{L}$ -groups

# Coset Orderings

• With convex subgroups we can define coset orderings

#### Definition

Let G be a po-group with partial order  $\leq$  and S a convex subgroup of G. Let  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  be the set of right cosets of S in G. On  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ , define  $Sx \leq Sy$  if there exists an  $s \in S$  such that  $sy \leq x$ , for  $x, y \in G$ . Then  $\leq$  is a partial ordering on  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ , and it is called the *coset ordering* of  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ .

# Coset Orderings

• With convex subgroups we can define coset orderings

#### Definition

Let G be a po-group with partial order  $\leq$  and S a convex subgroup of G. Let  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  be the set of right cosets of S in G. On  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ , define  $Sx \leq Sy$  if there exists an  $s \in S$  such that  $sy \leq x$ , for  $x, y \in G$ . Then  $\leq$  is a partial ordering on  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ , and it is called the *coset ordering* of  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ .

- This is the *right* coset ordering
- An entirely similar definition may be made for left cosets

# Coset Orderings

• With convex subgroups we can define coset orderings

### Definition

Let G be a po-group with partial order  $\leq$  and S a convex subgroup of G. Let  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  be the set of right cosets of S in G. On  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ , define  $Sx \leq Sy$  if there exists an  $s \in S$  such that  $sy \leq x$ , for  $x, y \in G$ . Then  $\leq$  is a partial ordering on  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ , and it is called the *coset ordering* of  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ .

- This is the *right* coset ordering
- An entirely similar definition may be made for left cosets

#### Theorem

Let G be a  $\mathcal{L}$ -group. Then a subgroup S of G is a convex  $\mathcal{L}$ -subgroup if and only if  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  is a distributive lattice under the coset ordering.

H. Harper (UPS)

# References

- Darnel, Michael R. Theory of Lattice-ordered Groups. New York: Marcel Dekker Inc., 1995.
- [2] Glass, A. M. W. Partially Ordered Groups. River Edge: World Scientific, 1999.
- [3] Holland, W. Charles. *Ordered Groups and Infinite Permutation Groups*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [4] Schwartz, Niels, and Madden, James J. Semi-algebraic Function Rings and Reflectors of Partially Ordered Rings. New York: Springer, 1999.
- [5] Steinberg, Stuart A. Lattice-ordered Rings and Modules. New York: Springer, 2010.